PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

			nt's file reference	FOR FURTHER AC	THER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International			
114	2WO	ORD	01	TOTTOTTOTT	Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)			
International application No.				International filing date (day/month/year)	Priority	date (day/month/year)	
PCT	T/EP (03/12	787	15.11.2003		19.11	.2002	
Inten	nationa	al Pate	nt Classification (IPC) or b	oth national classification a	and IPC	<u> </u>		
	D471		, ,				EINGANG/RECEIVED	
							- Tarara and recognized	
							0 9. März 2005	
Appli			m					
ALI	ANA	PHA	RMA AG et al.				Geworbl. Rochtsschutz/ Intollectual Property ALTANA Pharma AG	
							AG TO THOM IS AG	
1.						ternationa	al Preliminary Examining	
	Auth	ority a	and is transmitted to the	applicant according to	Article 36.			
,	Thic	DED	OPT consists of a total of	of 5 chapte including th	ie cover cheet			
2.	THIS	NEF	Uni consists of a total t	of 5 sheets, including th	iis cover sheet.			
		This	report is also accompa	nied by ANNEXES, i.e.	sheets of the descrip	tion, clair	ns and/or drawings which have	
		beer	amended and are the	basis for this report and	or sheets containing	rectificat	ions made before this Authority	
		(see	Rule 70.16 and Section	n 607 of the Administrat	ive instructions under	r the PC I	<i>.</i>	
	Thes	se anr	nexes consist of a total	of sheets.				
3.	This	repor	t contains indications re	elating to the following it	ems:			
	ı	\boxtimes	Basis of the opinion					
	H		Priority					
	 III	⊠	₹	opinion with regard to n	ovelty inventive sten	and indu	strial applicability	
	IV		Lack of unity of invent	•	overy, inventive stop	and mad	ional applications	
	V	×	•		th regard to nevelty i	invantiva	step or industrial applicability;	
	٧	L S	citations and explanat	tions supporting such sta	atement	111461111148	step of industrial applicability,	
	VI		Certain documents cit	ted				
	VII		Certain defects in the	international application	l			
	VIII		Certain observations	on the international appl	ication			
Date of submission of the demand Date of completion of this report								
Dale	OI SUD	IIIIISSIC	of the demand		Date of completion of	una repor	•	
24.0	15 20	nΛ			00 02 200E			
21.0)5.20	U4			08.03.2005			
Nam	e and	mailine	address of the internation	nal	Authorized Officer			
preliminary examining authority:							Continues of the Contin	
-	116		ropean Patent Office 30298 Munich		Schmid, A			
Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465					-		<i></i>	
· —		rax	t, +43 03 6333 • 4403		Telephone No. +49 89	y 2399-05	JI Area on 10°.	

I. Basis of the report

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	Description, Pages							
	1-27		as originally filed					
	Clai	ms, Numbers						
	1-10)	as originally filed					
2.	With regard to the language , all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.							
	These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:							
☐ the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Ru								
		the language of publ	ication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).					
		the language of a tra Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3	nslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under 3).					
3.	With inte	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:						
		contained in the inter	rnational application in written form.					
		filed together with the	e international application in computer readable form.					
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.						
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.						
		The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.						
The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written listing has been furnished.								
4.	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:							
		the description,	pages:					
		the claims,	Nos.:					
		the drawings,	sheets:					
5.		This report has been been considered to	established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).					
(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed report.)								

6. Additional observations, if necessary:

111.	Nor	n-establishment of opinion wi	ith reg	ard to nove	ty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
1.		ne questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non- vious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:								
		the entire international application,								
	\boxtimes	claims Nos. 10								
because:										
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 10 relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):									
see separate sheet										
		the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):								
		the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.								
		no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos.								
2.	or a	meaningful international preliminary examination cannot be carried out due to the failure of the nucleotide and/ amino acid sequence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative structions:								
☐ the written form has not been furnished or does not comply with the Standard.										
		the computer readable form ha	as not	been furnish	ed or does not comply with the Standard.					
٧.		easoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; ations and explanations supporting such statement								
1.	Stat	atement								
		relty (N)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-10					
		entive step (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-10					

1-9

Yes: Claims

No:

Claims

2. Citations and explanations

Industrial applicability (IA)

see separate sheet

Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

1) Claims 10 relates to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims (Article 34(4)(a)(I) PCT).

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- The closest prior art represented by D1 to D3 discloses compounds similar to the present ones which have been excluded by the applicant in the present application (cf. various provisos).
 - Accordingly the present subject-matter is novel pursuant to Article 54(1)(2) EPC.
- 2) D1 to D3 also concern the treatment of gastric and intestinal diseases. The compounds disclosed there exhibit an excellent activity as regards the lowering of the acid secretion (cf. D1, pages 35 and 26, D2, page 22, table 2, D3, pages 24 and 25).
 - Accordingly a skilled person looking for alternative compounds would surely try to vary the already known structure in order to new compounds having the same effect. As argued by the applicant (cf. present page 20, 3rd paragraph) the present compounds are superior to the compounds of the prior art. However, no comparison tests have been put forward in order to prove this allegation.

Therefore, without a clear proof compared to structurally closest compounds (cf. decision of the Board of Appeal T181/82) no inventive step can be acknowledged with regard to Article 56 EPC.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY International application No. PCT/EP 03/12787 EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

3) For the assessment of the present claim 10 on the question whether it is industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.